

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,061	10/31/2003	Soumya Banerjee	1778.0220000 (0191.00US)	7765	
26111 STERNE, KES	7590 09/18/200 SSLER, GOLDSTEIN &	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1100 NEW YO	ORK AVENUE, N.W.	LI, AIMEE J			
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2183			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/18/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/698,061	BANERJEE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
AIMEE J. LI	2183		

	AIMEE J. LI		2183	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover s	sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN	N CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an ame eal (with appeal fee	ndment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>	of the final rejection.			
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to</li> </ul>	ater than SIX MONTH	IS from the mailing	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i		X (b) WHEN THE	. FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sest forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office there may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition of the correst the correst that the correst that the correst than three months after the correst than the correct than the correct than the correct than the correct than the co	sponding amount origi	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CER	41 37 must be t	filed within two months	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 Cl	FR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to</li> </ol>				cause
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed)</li> </ul>		search (see NOT	řE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ber of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)				
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		otice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		d in a separate, t	imely filed amendmen	it canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			,	ŭ
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			I be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-35, 37, 38, 40-46.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejection	ons under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of th	e claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place t	he application in	condition for allowan	ce because:

/Aimee J Li/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2183

13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims clarifies and limits the scope of the claim to instruction formats, i.e. specific instruction sizes and layout, instead of any encoded state, i.e. any state representing the instruction including signals. This amendment requires further search and consideration.